| Notice of Allowability | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--------------|
| | 10/690,488 | CLARK ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Joe H. Cheng | 3713 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308. | | |
| 1. This communication is responsive to the Amendment filed 8/3/05. | | |
| 2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 41-112. | | |
| 3. The drawings filed on 20 October 2003 are accepted by the Examiner. | | |
| 4. | | |
| Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 1/12/05 & 2/25/05 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material | 6. ☐ Interview Summary (Paper No./Mail Date 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendm | e |
| | | |

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Application's submission filed on January 12, 2005 has been entered.
- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

None of the prior art of record shows the combination of the structure of the claimed system for electronically providing performance feedback based upon a comparison of an evaluator's performance in evaluating data items to predetermined performance criteria comprising the receiving subsystem configured to electronically receive information over a distributed computer network related to a first performance level of a first evaluator's evaluation of data items and a predetermined performance level, the comparison subsystem configured to electronically compare the first performance level and the predetermined performance level, and the discrepancy subsystem configured to electronically report a discrepancy based on predefined criteria between the first performance level and the predetermined performance level (as per claims 41-43); or the combination of the structure of the claimed system for electronically providing performance feedback based upon a comparison of an

evaluator's performance in evaluating data items to predetermined performance criteria comprising the receiving subsystem configured to electronically receive information over a distributed computer network related to a first validity level of a first evaluator's evaluation of data items and a predetermined validity level, the comparison subsystem configured to electronically compare the first validity level and the predetermined validity level, and the discrepancy subsystem configured to electronically report a discrepancy based on predefined criteria between the first validity level and the predetermined validity level (as per claims 44-47); or the combination of the structure of the claimed system for electronically providing performance feedback based upon a comparison of an evaluator's performance in evaluating data items to predetermined performance criteria comprising the receiving subsystem configured to electronically receive information over a distributed computer network related to a first reliability level of a first evaluator's evaluation of data items and a predetermined reliability level, the comparison subsystem configured to electronically compare the first reliability level and the predetermined reliability level, and the discrepancy subsystem configured to electronically report over a distributed computer network a discrepancy based on predefined criteria between the first reliability level and the predetermined reliability level (as per claims 48-51); or the combination of the structure of the claimed system for electronically providing performance feedback based upon a comparison of an evaluator's performance in evaluating data items to predetermined performance criteria comprising the receiving subsystem configured to electronically receive information over a distributed computer network related to a first speed level of a first evaluator's evaluation of data items and a predetermined speed level, the comparison subsystem

Art Unit: 3713

configured to electronically compare the first speed level and the predetermined speed level, and the discrepancy subsystem configured to electronically report a discrepancy over a distributed computer network based on predefined criteria between the first speed level and the predetermined speed level (as per claims 52-55); or the combination of the structure of the claimed system for increasing efficiency by monitoring evaluator performance in evaluating data items and recommending break times to the evaluators comprising the receiving subsystem configured to electronically receive first performance levels over a distributed computer network corresponding to an evaluator's evaluating of data items at predetermined past intervals in time and electronically receiving over a distributed computer network a second predetermined performance level, the comparison subsystem configured to electronically compare the second predetermined performance level and the first performance level, and the discrepancy subsystem configured to electronically detect a discrepancy based on predefined criteria between the second predetermined performance level and the first performance level and signaling the evaluator to halt data item evaluating for a break time (as per claims 56-61); or the combination of the structure of the claimed system for increasing resolver efficiency by monitoring evaluator performance in evaluating data items and recommending break times to the evaluator comprising the receiving subsystem configured to electronically receive first evaluation rates corresponding to an evaluator's evaluating of data items at predetermined past intervals in time and electronically receive a second present evaluation rate corresponding to the evaluator's evaluating of data items at a present time, the comparison subsystem configured to electronically compare the second evaluation rate and the first evaluation rate, and the discrepancy subsystem configured to

Art Unit: 3713

electronically detect a discrepancy based on predefined criteria between the second evaluation rate and the first evaluation rate as indicated by the comparison subsystem and signal over the distributed computer network the evaluator to halt data item evaluation for a break time (as per claims 62-64); or the combination of the structure of the claimed computer-readable program storage medium tangibly embodying a data package and associated verification instructions executable by a computing system for electronically providing performance feedback based upon a comparison of an evaluator's performance in evaluating data items to predetermined performance criteria comprising the steps of electronically receiving information related to a first performance level of a first evaluator's evaluation of data items and a predetermined performance level, electronically comparing the first performance level and the predetermined performance level, and electronically reporting a discrepancy based on predefined criteria between the first performance level and the predetermined performance level (as per claims 65-67); or the combination of the structure of the claimed computer-readable program storage medium tangibly embodying a data package and associated verification instructions executable by a computing system for electronically providing performance feedback based upon a comparison of an evaluator's performance in scoring data items to predetermined performance criteria comprising the steps of electronically receiving information related to a first validity level of a first evaluator's evaluation of data items and a predetermined validity level, electronically comparing the first validity level and the predetermined validity level, and electronically reporting a discrepancy based on predefined criteria between the first validity level and the predetermined validity level (as per claims 68-71); or the combination of the structure of the claimed computer-readable

Art Unit: 3713

program storage medium tangibly embodying a data package and associated verification instructions executable by a computing system for electronically providing performance feedback based upon a comparison of an evaluator's performance in evaluating data items to predetermined performance criteria comprising the steps of electronically receiving information related to a first reliability level of a first evaluator's evaluation of data items and a predetermined reliability level, electronically comparing the first reliability level and the predetermined reliability level, and electronically reporting a discrepancy based on predefined criteria between the first reliability level and the predetermined reliability level (as per claims 72-75); or the combination of the structure of the claimed computer-readable program storage medium tangibly embodying a data package and associated verification instructions executable by a computing system for electronically providing performance feedback based upon a comparison of an evaluator's performance in evaluating data items to predetermined performance criteria comprising the steps of electronically receiving information related to a first speed level of a first evaluator's evaluation of data items and a predetermined speed level, electronically comparing the first speed level and the predetermined speed level, and electronically reporting a discrepancy based on predefined criteria between the first speed level and the predetermined speed level (as per claims 76-79); or the combination of the structure of the claimed computer-readable program storage medium tangibly embodying a data package and associated verification instructions executable by a computing system for increasing evaluator efficiency by monitoring evaluator performance in evaluating data items and recommending break times to the evaluator comprising the steps of electronically receiving first performance levels corresponding to an evaluator's

Art Unit: 3713

evaluation of data items at predetermined past intervals in time and electronically receiving a second predetermined performance level, electronically comparing the second predetermined performance level and the first performance level, and electronically detecting a discrepancy based on predefined criteria between the second predetermined performance level and the first performance level and signaling the evaluator to halt data item evaluation for a break time (as per claims 80-85); or the combination of the structure of the claimed computer-readable program storage medium tangibly embodying a data package and associated verification instructions executable by a computing system for increasing evaluator efficiency by monitoring evaluator performance in evaluating data items and recommending break times to the evaluators comprising the steps of electronically receiving the first evaluation rate corresponding to an evaluator's evaluating of data items at predetermined past intervals in time and electronically receiving a second present evaluation rate corresponding to the evaluator's scoring of data items at a present time, electronically comparing the second evaluation rate and the first evaluation rate, and electronically detecting a discrepancy based on predefined criteria between the second evaluation rate and the first evaluation rate as indicated by the comparison step and signaling the evaluator to halt data item evaluation for a break time (as per claims 86-88); or the combination of the structure of the claimed method for electronically providing performance feedback based upon a comparison of an evaluator's performance in evaluating data items to predetermined performance criteria comprising the steps of electronically receiving information related to a first performance level of a first evaluator's evaluation of data items and a predetermined performance level, electronically comparing the first performance level and the predetermined

Art Unit: 3713

performance level, and electronically reporting a discrepancy based on predefined criteria between the first performance level and the predetermined performance level (as per claims 89-91); or the combination of the structure of the claimed method for electronically providing performance feedback based upon a comparison of an evaluator's performance in scoring data items to predetermined performance criteria comprising the steps of electronically receiving information related to a first validity level of a first evaluator's evaluation of data items and a predetermined validity level. electronically comparing the first validity level and the predetermined validity level, and electronically reporting a discrepancy based on predefined criteria between the first validity level and the predetermined validity level (as per claims 92-95); or the combination of the structure of the claimed method for electronically providing performance feedback based upon a comparison of an evaluator's performance in evaluating data items to predetermined performance criteria comprising the steps of electronically receiving information related to a first reliability level of a first evaluator's evaluation of data items and a predetermined reliability level, electronically comparing the first reliability level and the predetermined reliability level, and electronically reporting a discrepancy based on predefined criteria between the first reliability level and the predetermined reliability level (as per claims 96-99), or the combination of the structure of the claimed method for electronically providing performance feedback based upon a comparison of an evaluator's performance in evaluating data items to predetermined performance criteria comprising the steps of electronically receiving information related to a first speed level of a first evaluator's evaluation of data items and a predetermined speed level, electronically comparing the first speed level and the

Art Unit: 3713

predetermined speed level, and electronically reporting a discrepancy based on predefined criteria between the first speed level and the predetermined speed level (as per claims 100-103); or the combination of the structure of the claimed method for increasing evaluator efficiency by monitoring evaluator performance in evaluating data items and recommending break times to the evaluators comprising the steps of electronically receiving first performance levels corresponding to an evaluator's evaluation of data items at predetermined past intervals in time and electronically receiving a second predetermined performance level, electronically comparing the second predetermined performance level and the first performance level, and electronically detecting a discrepancy based on predefined criteria between the second predetermined performance level and the first performance level and signaling the evaluator to halt data item evaluation for a break time (as per claims 104-109); or the combination of the structure of the claimed method for increasing evaluator efficiency by monitoring evaluator performance in evaluating data items and recommending break times to the evaluators comprising the steps of electronically receiving the first evaluation rate corresponding to an evaluator's evaluating of data items at predetermined past intervals in time and electronically receiving a second present evaluation rate corresponding to the evaluator's scoring of data items at a present time, electronically comparing the second evaluation rate and the first evaluation rate, and electronically detecting a discrepancy based on predefined criteria between the second evaluation rate and the first evaluation rate as indicated by the comparison step and signaling the evaluator to halt data item evaluation for a break time (as per claims 110-112).

Art Unit: 3713

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joe H. Cheng whose telephone number is (571)272-4433. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue. - Fri...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on (571)272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joe H. Cheng March 31, 2005 Joe H. Cheng Primary Examiner